

## Introduction

• The anesthetic propofol induces beta (12-20 Hz), alpha (8-12 Hz), and Slow Wave Oscillations (SWO, 0.1-1.5 Hz) on the EEG of human patients [1]

• At low propofol, near Loss of Consciousness, alpha amplitude is maximal during the trough of the SWO phase, called "Trough-max" phaseamplitude coupling (PAC) [1]

• At high propofol, in deep anesthesia, alpha **amplitude** is maximal during the peak of the SWO phase, called "Peak-max" PAC [1]

• SWOs in natural sleep often begin in the cortex [2], while simulations suggest human patient undergoing propofol anesthesia, from [1] propofol alpha is generated by the thalamus [3]



Figure 1: Example EEG data and spectral analysis of

• Propofol "directly" affects properties of thalamic and cortical cells and synapses such as GABA-A conductance, GABA-A decay time, and H-current conductance [1,3]

• Propofol "indirectly" affects thalamic and cortical cells via decreasing cortical acetylcholine (ACh) [4], which affects K(Na)-current conductance, corticocortical, and thalamocortical synaptic strengths [5]

• We hypothesized that the direct effects of propofol would produce and control both trough-max and peak-max PAC in a full, thalamocortical model, primarily by modulating thalamic behavior. However, we found that indirect effects from propofol on ACh and changes to the thalamocortical feedback loop could control trough-max vs peak-max changes.

## Methods

•Our simulations modeled 100 cortical dendrite compartments (PYdr), 100 cortical axo-somatic compartments (PYso), 20 cortical interneurons ••••• (IN), 20 thalamic reticular neurons (TRN), and 20 thalamocortical neurons (TC) using the biophysical Hodgkin-Huxley formalism [3,5]. Synapses are connected via a nearest-neighbor radius.

•Our artificial EEG signal was modeled from the combination of AMPAergic corticocortical  $(PY \rightarrow PY)$  and thalamocortical  $(TC \rightarrow PY)$ synaptic currents onto cortical dendrites



•Our PAC analysis was based on the standard Modulation Index coupling measure [6]

Figure 2: Circuit diagram of thalamic and cortical networks in model; synapses used for EEG model are indicated on top

References

Aukamel, E. A., E. Pirondini, B. Babadi, K. F. K. Wong, E. T. Pierce, P. G. Harrell, J. L. Walsh, et al. 2014. "A Transition in Brain State during Propofol-Induced Unconsciousness." The Journal of Neuroscience : he Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 34 (3): 839–45. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5813-12.2014. Crunelli, Vincenzo, and Stuart W Hughes. 2010. "The Slow (<1 Hz) Rhythm of Non-REM Sleep: A Dialogue between Three Cardinal Oscillators." Nature Neuroscience 13 (1): 9–17. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2445 Alichelle M. McCarthy, Jason Sherfey, Shane Lee, Patrick L. Purdon, Emery N. Brown, and Nancy Kopell. 2017. "Thalamocortical Control of Propofol Phase-Amplitude Coupling." PLoS computational Biology 13 (12): e1005879. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005879. Chen, Lei, Zhi-Lai Yang, Juan Cheng, Ping-Ping Zhang, Le-Sha Zhang, Xue-Sheng Liu, and Lie-Cheng Wang. 2018. "Propofol Decreases the Excitability of Cholinergic Neurons in Mouse Basal Forebrain via GABAA Receptors." Acta Pharmacologica Sinica 40: 755–61. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41401-018-0168-6. Compte, Albert, Maria V. Sanchez-Vives, David A. McCormick, and Xiao-Jing Wang. 2003. "Cellular and Network Mechanisms of Slow Oscillatory Activity (<1 Hz) and Wave Propagations in a Cortical Network 10del." Journal of Neurophysiology 89 (5): 2707–25. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00845.2002 Tort, A. B. L., R. Komorowski, H. Eichenbaum, and N. Kopell. 2010. "Measuring Phase-Amplitude Coupling Between Neuronal Oscillations of Different Frequencies." Journal of Neurophysiology 104 (2): 95–1210. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00106.2010 Brown, Emery N., Patrick L. Purdon, and Christa J. Van Dort. 2011. "General Anesthesia and Altered States of Arousal: A Systems Neuroscience Analysis." Annual Review of Neuroscience 34 (1): 601–28 nttps://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-060909-153200.

## Funding / Conflicts of Interest Disclosure

Funding: All supported by NIH Grant P01GM118269. Other sources include Guggenheim Fellowship in Applied Mathematics. NIH R01-GM104948, funds from MGH. and NSF DMS-1042134-5 Conflicts of Interest: Massachusetts General Hospital has licensed intellectual property for EEG monitoring developed by EB and PP to Masimo. EB and PP hold interests in PASACALL, start-up company developing EEG-based anesthetic state control systems for anesthesiology, of which PP is a Co-Founder

## Download this poster at: Cortical UP/DOWN state synchrony drives propofol asoplata.com/asoplata-poster.pdf Or scan QR code here: phase-amplitude coupling in slow waves Austin E. Soplata<sup>1,2,3</sup>(austin.soplata@gmail.com), Michelle M. McCarthy<sup>2,3</sup>, Erik Roberts<sup>1,2</sup>, Emery N. Brown<sup>2,4,5,6,7</sup>, Patrick L. Purdon<sup>2,4,5</sup>, Nancy Kopell<sup>2,3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Graduate Program for Neuroscience, <sup>2</sup>Cognitive Rhythms Collaborative, and <sup>3</sup>Department of Anesthesia, Critical Care, and Pain Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, <sup>5</sup>Department of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, <sup>6</sup>Division of Health Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA Cortical synchronization controls EEG Trough-max Involves Synaptic Competition • We found that while **direct** effects were necessary for thalamic propofol alpha, indirect effects were also necessary for SWO expression • EEG signal had two components: thalamocortical and corticocortical synapses synapses, therefore leading to cortical synchronization onto cortical dendrites • During EEG **Trough-max**: • In the thalamocortical synapse case: $TC \rightarrow PY$ synapses $PY \rightarrow PY$ synapses • Thalamic cells exhibit a **persistent** alpha oscillation, alpha bursting to synchronize cortical UP states Single PYdr Voltage Single PYdr Voltage while target cortical cells exhibit a SWO rhythm • The TC $\rightarrow$ PY synaptic current produces a **Trough-max** PAC signal since the alpha amplitude is larger during cortical Single PYso Voltage Single TC Voltage DOWN states, exhibiting a Slow Wave envelope thalamic bursting • This coupling is maximal near a 1.0 Hz SWO frequency Mean PY→PY AMPA current and a 12 Hz alpha frequency Mean TC→PY AMPA current • During EEG **Peak-max**: [µA/cm and synchronize cortical UP states • In the corticocortical synapse case: Zoomed TC $\rightarrow$ PY AMPA current • The cortex is has low synchronization, so their SWO phases are somewhat out of alignment corticothalamic AMPA firing [µA/cm<sup>2</sup>] • Cortical alpha is only present during the UP states • Because the only alpha component of their synaptic currents TC→PY Comodulogram are during UP states, these synapses produce a **Peak-max** and resetting the SWO cycle PAC signal EEG Trough-max Spike Rastergrams EEG Trough-max Thalamocortical $TC \rightarrow PY AMPA current$ • The thalamocortical synapses showing **Trough-max** PAC compete Coupling Map referred by Alpha amplitude with the corticocortical synapses showing **Peak-max** PAC rrows indicate the Slow phase preferred by Alpha amplitud Xeur-Trough-may • The thalamocortical **Trough-max** synapses have a much SWO Frequency stronger synaptic current and therefore **dominate** the EEG **EEG Peak-max** EEG Peak-max Spike Rastergrar signal Thalamocortical $TC \rightarrow PY AMPA current$ Figure 3: EEG Trough-max occurs from competition between TC $\rightarrow$ PY and PY $\rightarrow$ PY synapses **EEG Peak-max Involves Synaptic Cooperation** Conclusions • Higher-dose propofol may cause a further decrease in ACh, leading PYso to an increase in TC $\rightarrow$ PY synaptic strength, enabling Trough-max to switch to **Peak-max** PAC as shown here • In the thalamocortical synapse case: may be necessary for the generation of propofol SWOs • Spontaneous thalamic alpha elicits a stronger cortical response, PY→PY synapses feeding back enough depolarization to silence thalamic bursting TC→PY synapses • When the cortex is locally weakly synchronized, strong • Thalamic alpha only occurs near cortical UP states, therefore Single PYdr Voltage Single PYdr Voltage thalamocortical alpha inputs dominate the **competition** with producing **Peak-max** PAC in the synaptic current [mV] [mV] corticocortical synapses, producing EEG **Trough-max** PAC $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$ • This coupling prefers a slower SWO frequency near 0.5 Hz Single PYso Voltage Single TC Voltage and a slower alpha frequency near 10 Hz [m∖ Mean TC $\rightarrow$ PY AMPA current **c** $0.03^{\circ}$ Mean PY $\rightarrow$ PY AMPA current • In the corticocortical synapse case: 1.5 [µA/cm<sup>2</sup>] [µA/cm<sup>2</sup>] • The cortex is has high synchronization, so UP state transmission the star where we show that Where we want the across the cortex is more organized Time [sec] 2 Time [sec] cooperate to produce EEG Peak-max PAC PY→PY Comodulogram TC→PY Comodulogram • Thalamic alpha is again only present during the UP states • Similar to the Trough-max case, these synapses produce a **Peak-max** PAC signal 7.5 feedback to the cortex is sufficient Time [sec] Coupling Map • SWO power is larger than during EEG Trough-max, similar to Coupling Map

experiment [1]

 The thalamocortical and corticocortical synapses both exhibit a **Peak-max** PAC in this higher propofol dose example







synchronization in the context of propofol [7]